

SU-ITP-93-4
 IEM-FT-68/93
 hep-th/9302127
 February 1993

Quantum Black Holes ^{*}

Juan García-Bellido[†]

Theory Group, Physics Department
 Stanford University
 Stanford, CA 94305-4060

Abstract

Following Mukhanov's pioneering work, we propose the hypothesis that a black hole spontaneously emits radiation in quanta of entropy of one *bit*. It then follows, using standard thermodynamical arguments, that the black hole should be quantized. We give the spectrum of the quantum black hole, the degeneracy of the levels and their width. There is a ground state of zero entropy, area $4\ln 2 M_{\text{Pl}}^{-2}$ and mass $(\ln 2/4\pi)^{1/2} M_{\text{Pl}}$. It may be a stable particle, the end-point of Hawking evaporation. Using the equivalence between black holes and white holes, we find that the Universe could have started in a ground state of density $3/(8\ln 2) M_{\text{Pl}}^4$.

^{*}Work partially supported by MEC-FPI grant.

[†]e-mail: bellido@slacvm.bitnet

According to information theory [1], the entropy or lack of information associated with the question “does a particle exist inside a black hole?” is given by

$$S = -p \ln p - (1 - p) \ln(1 - p), \quad 0 \leq p \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

If we knew the answer with absolute certainty, probability $p = 1$, then the entropy would be $S = 0$. On the other hand, the maximum ignorance (about the answer) corresponds to the maximum of this function, *i.e.* equal probabilities $p = 1/2$ and thus $S = \ln 2$, one *bit* of information.

Hawking’s “Principle of Maximum Ignorance” [2] suggests that a black hole’s event horizon acts as an information blocking mechanism which forces one to assign equal probabilities to the question above [3]. Therefore, following the pioneering work of Mukhanov [4], we assume that the radiation emitted *spontaneously* by a black hole carries a quantum of entropy, a bit. It then follows, using standard thermodynamical arguments, that the black hole itself must be quantized.

The radiation modes are thus characterized by a frequency ω , a charge q , an azimuthal quantum number m and a quantum of entropy, a *bit*, satisfying Bekenstein’s [5] first law of black hole thermodynamics (in units $c = \hbar = k = G = 1$),

$$\omega - q\Phi - m\Omega = T_{\text{bh}} \ln 2, \quad (2)$$

where Φ , Ω and T_{bh} are the electrical potential, the rotational frequency and the temperature of the black hole, respectively.

The mean number of quanta emitted by a black hole in a given mode is given by Hawking’s well known result [6]

$$\langle n \rangle = \frac{\Gamma}{e^x - 1}, \quad (3)$$

where Γ is the “absorptivity” of the black hole and

$$x = \frac{\omega - q\Phi - m\Omega}{T_{\text{bh}}}. \quad (4)$$

Eq.(3) is just what would be emitted by a *grey* body whose Γ for a given mode coincides with that of the black hole, and which has a well defined temperature T_{bh} .

Bekenstein and Meisels [7] are then able, using very general thermodynamical arguments, to derive the expression for the Einstein A and B coefficients for the black hole in terms of Γ and x ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\downarrow} &= B_{\downarrow} = \frac{\Gamma}{e^x - 1}, \\ B_{\uparrow} &= \frac{e^x \Gamma}{e^x - 1}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and the related identities,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= B_{\uparrow} - B_{\downarrow}, \\ \frac{B_{\uparrow}}{B_{\downarrow}} &= e^x, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $B_{\uparrow}$ and $B_{\downarrow}$ are the induced absorption and emission coefficients, and $A_{\downarrow}$ the spontaneous emission coefficient of the black hole. We will assume that these coefficients (5) have the usual statistical interpretation in terms of internal black hole configurations [7], and that the quantum mode (2) is the result of transitions between contiguous internal levels of the black hole. In that case we have

$$\frac{B_{\uparrow}}{B_{\downarrow}} = 2. \tag{7}$$

We know from statistical physics that the ratio of the Einstein B coefficients is exactly the ratio of the degeneracy of the respective levels, which we will denote by W_n and W_{n-1} . Thus we deduce

$$W_n = 2 W_{n-1}. \tag{8}$$

This relation must be valid for *all* internal levels n , since the arguments used to deduce it are independent of n . Then we may write $W_n = c 2^n$, where c is a constant. We can now define the entropy of a given level, which is related to the number of independent internal configurations of that level, as the usual Boltzmann entropy

$$S_n = \ln W_n = n \ln 2 + \ln c. \tag{9}$$

We may fix the constant c by requiring that the ground level ($n = 1$) has zero entropy, or equivalently, is non-degenerate,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= (n - 1) \ln 2, \\ W_n &= 2^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

strongly suggesting that the entropy of a black hole is quantized, and that each internal level has degeneracy W_n , precisely the number of integer partitions of n , as would be expected if we had *no* information, due to the existence of an event horizon, of the internal configurations that constitute each level. These relations were first obtained by Mukhanov [4], using the correspondence principle, in the limit $n \gg 1$. See also [8].

We know [5, 6] that the surface area, and therefore the mass, of the black hole are related to its entropy by very simple formulas (We will consider only Schwarzschild black holes from now on). Therefore, the entropy quantization (10) ensures also an area and mass quantization [9],

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= n \, 4 \ln 2, \\ M_n &= \left(\frac{\ln 2}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Note that the minimal possible increase of the black hole area is $4 \ln 2$, a result found by Bekenstein [5]. Therefore, a black hole of mass M may consist of infinitely many quantum internal states or configurations, disposed in levels of definite area, mass and entropy, which are exponentially degenerate (10).

One may ask [4] what are the lifetimes τ_n of these levels, or equivalently, their quantum widths Γ_n . These can be computed using again thermodynamical arguments. We know that a hot body of averaged absorptivity Γ and area A at a temperature T emits energy at a rate given by Stefan–Boltzmann law, $dE/dt = \sigma \Gamma A T^4$, where σ is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant, which in our units reads $\sigma = \pi^2/60$. The energy emitted by a black hole in the form of Hawking radiation thus corresponds to a decrease in its mass

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = - \frac{\Gamma}{15360\pi} \frac{1}{M^2}, \tag{12}$$

where we have substituted $A_{\text{bh}} = 16\pi M^2$ and $T_{\text{bh}} = 1/(8\pi M)$. Note that it gives the expected Hawking evaporation rate [11]. We now assume that each level n emits radiation as if it were a hot body of area A_n at temperature T_n , and whose mass-loss rate is dominated by $dM_n/dt \simeq -\omega_{\text{max}}/\tau_n = -2.82 \, T_n \, \Gamma_n$, using Wien’s displacement law. From (12) we find

$$\Gamma_n \simeq \frac{\Gamma}{1920} \frac{1}{3M_n} = \frac{8\pi}{3 \ln 2} \frac{\Gamma}{1920} \Delta M_{n,n-1} \ll \Delta M_{n,n-1} \tag{13}$$

where we have used Eq.(2), $\Delta M_{n,n-1} \equiv \omega_{n,n-1} = \ln 2/(8\pi M_n)$. We have thus proven, for all n , that the width of the internal levels of the black hole are much smaller than the spacing between levels [4]. We can therefore speak of a true quantum black hole.

We now propose [4] that Hawking radiation is simply the averaged radiation emitted by a black hole, composed of infinitely many discrete transitions (very similar to the radiation emitted by an atom). Unfortunately we do not have the experimentally observed spectral lines of a black hole to compare with, since the energy resolution of the observed gamma ray bursts is insufficient to resolve the black hole spectrum [12]. However, there is a fundamental difference with Hawking's spectrum, since we can deduce from (10) and (11) that there exists a non-degenerate ground state ($n = 1$), with minimum area $A = 4 \ln 2 M_{\text{Pl}}^{-2}$, zero entropy, and mass $M = (\ln 2/4\pi)^{1/2} M_{\text{Pl}}$. All other states are unstable (Hawking radiation is the result of their transitions to the ground state). Of course, we cannot ensure the stability of the ground state under quantum gravity effects but, as far as the above description is concerned, such a state is stable. Thus, the end-point of Hawking's evaporation may not be a naked singularity but a fundamental particle [13].

Furthermore, as pointed out in Ref.[7], the relation between the B coefficients in Eq.(6) is a direct consequence of time reversibility of the black hole emission and absorption, supported by Hawking's view [14] that a black hole and a white hole are equivalent. Therefore, our former quantum description of a black hole could just as well apply to a white hole, like the Big Bang. This would mean that the Universe could have started in a ground state of Planck density, and evolved towards our present Universe through emission of quantum radiation. We can compute the energy density of this ground state by assigning to a de Sitter Universe a temperature $T_{\text{dS}} = H/2\pi$ [15], where H is the Hubble parameter, $H^2 = 8\pi\rho/3$ in Planck units. Comparing the area of the de Sitter horizon, $A_{\text{dS}} = 4\pi H^{-2}$, with the minimum quantum area, see Eq.(11), we find that the energy density is quantized

$$\rho_n = \frac{3}{8 \ln 2} \frac{1}{n} M_{\text{Pl}}^4. \quad (14)$$

So the Universe could have started in a ground state very close to Planck density, and have evolved until today, where $n \gg 1$ and the cosmological constant is small $\Lambda \sim 10^{-120} M_{\text{Pl}}^4$ [16].

Of course, these results, obtained using standard thermodynamical argu-

ments, should be confirmed by formal quantum field-theoretic calculations. Note that we still do *not* have a quantum theory of gravity nor a quantum mechanics of black holes, but at least we know that there is a deep connection between gravitation, quantum theory and thermodynamics.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks L. Susskind, A. Linde and V. Mukhanov for various enlightening discussions. He is also greatly indebted to J. Bekenstein for useful comments on the role of information theory in black hole quantization.

References

- [1] C. E. Shannon and W. Weaver, *The Mathematical Theory of Communications*, University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 1949.
- [2] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 2460.
- [3] Note that we are not asking what is the probability of emission of a quantum of frequency ω , charge q and angular momentum m , but *does this quantum exist or not inside the black hole?*.
- [4] V. F. Mukhanov, *JETP Lett.* **44** (1986) 63.
- [5] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 2333.
- [6] S. W. Hawking, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **45** (1975) 9.
- [7] J. D. Bekenstein and A. Meisels, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2775.
- [8] J. D. Bekenstein, *Lett. Nuov. Cim.* **11** (1974) 467.
- [9] Note that we are assuming $S = A/4 - \ln 2$, which gives no contradiction between the second law of thermodynamics and Hawking's area theorem [10], since $S \geq S_1 + S_2$ implies $A \geq A_1 + A_2 - 4 \ln 2$. If we take initial minimum black hole areas, $A_i = 4 \ln 2$, then the final area satisfies $A \geq A_{\min} = 4 \ln 2$, consistently.
- [10] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 1344.
- [11] D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **13** (1976) 198.
- [12] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, *Astrophys. J.* **206** (1976) 1.
- [13] Y. Aharonov, A. Casher and S. Nussinov, *Phys. Lett. B* **191** (1987) 51.
- [14] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **13** (1976) 191.
- [15] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2738.
- [16] M. Schiffer, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **24** (1992) 705.